

Report Identification Number: SV-21-053

Prepared by: New York State Office of Children & Family Services

Issue Date: May 20, 2022

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: ☐ A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub				
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult					
	Contacts					
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPS-Child Protective Services						
	Allegations					
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police				
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care				
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services				
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan				
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment				
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old				
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur					



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Suffolk **Date of Death:** 12/16/2021

Age: 4 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 12/16/2021

Presenting Information

An SCR report alleged that on 12/7/21, the maternal grandfather fell asleep on the couch with the 4-month-old infant for approximately 30 minutes. The mother and grandmother arrived home and noticed that the infant was laying on his stomach and his head was hanging off of the side of the couch. The infant was unresponsive. Emergency medical services were contacted and the infant was transferred to the hospital at 5:00 PM. The infant remained in a coma and on a ventilator after the incident. On 12/16/21, the ventilator was removed and the infant was pronounced deceased due to cardiac arrest from unsafe sleeping.

Executive Summary

On 12/16/21, the Suffolk County Department of Social Services (SCDSS) received an SCR report regarding the death of the 4-month-old male infant that occurred on that date. At the time of the infant's death, SCDSS had an open CPS investigation, which was received on 12/7/21, after the infant was found unresponsive while in the care of the maternal grandfather on that date. At the time of the fatal incident, the infant resided with his mother and maternal grandparents. The father had been incarcerated on unrelated charges since the infant's birth.

SCDSS and law enforcement conducted a joint investigation into the infant's death. It was learned that the infant was left in the care of the grandfather on 12/7/21. The maternal aunt and grandmother arrived at the home around 4:30 PM, and they discovered the grandfather had fallen asleep on the reclining couch with the infant. The infant had fallen from the grandfather's chest and he was face down on the footrest. The infant's head was hanging off the footrest and he was unresponsive. The grandmother called 911 while the aunt and grandfather performed CPR. EMS arrived and took over CPR and transported the infant to the hospital via ambulance. The infant regained a pulse and he was placed on life support. The infant remained in a coma and on life support until his death on 12/16/21 at 11:41 AM.

The investigation revealed that the grandfather had a history of opiate use, and he took a prescribed opioid maintenance drug daily. Following the incident, law enforcement conducted a blood test and the grandfather was found to have just his prescribed opioid maintenance drug in his system. The grandfather denied being under the influence of any drugs or alcohol during the incident.

An autopsy was performed, and the results were pending at the time this report was written. Law enforcement reported no criminality regarding the infant's death, and their case remained open pending the final autopsy results.

SCDSS substantiated the allegations of DOA/Fatality, Lack of Supervision, and Inadequate Guardianship against the grandfather. SCDSS' investigation found credible evidence that the grandfather failed to exercise a minimum degree of care by not adhering to safe sleep practices. His actions/inactions in not providing proper supervision of the infant ultimately led to his death. SCDSS referred the family for mental health and bereavement services and they closed the case as there were no surviving children.

PIP Requirement

This review resulted in a citation related to casework practice. In response, SCDSS will submit a PIP to the Regional Office within 30 days of receipt of this report. The PIP will identify what action(s) the SCDSS has taken, or will take, to address the cited issue(s). For citations where a PIP is currently implemented, SCDSS will review the plan(s) and revise as needed.

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 3 of 13



Was 911 or local emergency number called?

Did EMS respond to the scene?

Time of Call:

Child Fatality Report

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

	different than date of death: different than time of death:	12/07/2021 04:30 PM
Date of Death: 12/16/202	Time of Death: 11:41	AM
	Incident Information	
	·	
	Fatality-Related Information and Investigative	Activities
Are there Required Acti	ons related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No	
	Required Actions Related to the Fatality	
-	mmensurate with case circumstances.	
Explain:		consultation.
or regulatory requireme Was there sufficient doc	nts? umentation of supervisory consultation?	Yes, the case record has detail of the
	ommensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory	Yes
Was the decision to close	•	Yes
Explain: The case was appropriate:	y indicated and closed.	
 Was the determinal appropriate? 	nation made by the district to unfound or indicate	Yes
	formation gathered to make determination(s) for all as any others identified in the course of the	Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.
Determination:		X
o Safety asso	essment due at the time of determination?	N/A
	•	
Safety Assessment:Was sufficient intthe:	ormation gathered to make the decision recorded on	

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 4 of 13

Yes

Yes

Unknown



At time of incident leading	to death, had child used alcohol or	r drugs?	N/A
Child's activity at time of i	ncident:		
⊠ Sleeping	Working	Driving / Vehicle	le occupant
☐ Playing	☐ Eating	Unknown	•
Other	-		
Did child have supervision	at time of incident leading to death	h? Yes	
How long before incident v	vas the child last seen by caretaker	? 30 Minutes	
At time of incident was sup	pervisor impaired? Not impaired.		
At time of incident supervi	sor was:		
Distracted		Absent	
⊠ Asleep		Other:	
Total number of deaths at	incident event:		
Children ages 0-18: 1			
Adults: 0			

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	4 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	56 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	No Role	Female	60 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	No Role	Female	25 Year(s)
Other Household 1	Father	No Role	Male	29 Year(s)

LDSS Response

SCDSS investigated the infant's death by reviewing the parents' and grandparents' SCR history and records from the hospital, pediatrician, law enforcement, and fire department. They conducted a home visit on 1/22/22, and the infant's sleeping arrangements were not assessed since the family had removed all infant supplies from the home. SCDSS interviewed the mother, grandparents, aunt, and father. They notified the District Attorney's Office of the death, spoke to the source of the report, and spoke with law enforcement. Attempts to speak to the medical examiner were unsuccessful.

The grandmother reported that the aunt picked her up at work at 4:00 PM, and she checked in with the grandfather. He reported that the infant was fussy, so he was giving him tummy time. She and the aunt arrived at the home at 4:30 PM, and she immediately discovered the infant was face down, with his head hanging over the footrest. She began yelling for the grandfather to wake up and the aunt picked up the infant and began CPR while the grandmother called 911. She denied that the grandfather appeared to be under the influence of any drugs or alcohol and she said she had no knowledge of him using drugs or misusing his prescribed medication. She had no concerns for the grandfather's ability to care for the infant.

The aunt's account of the incident was consistent with the grandmother's account. She said she immediately performed CPR, then the grandfather took over CPR so she could call the mother. She had no concerns for the grandfather's ability to care for the infant. She said he had been sober for 15 years and she denied that he appeared to be under the influence of any drugs or alcohol when they arrived at the home.

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 5 of 13



The mother said she was at work when she received a call from the aunt informing her of the incident and she immediately went to the hospital. She expressed no concerns for the grandfather caring for the infant. She denied that she had seen the grandfather under the influence of drugs or alcohol at any time prior to the incident.

The grandfather stated that he was sitting in the reclining sofa and the infant was fussy. He decided to give the infant some tummy time, so he laid the infant on his chest. He fell asleep and the next thing he remembered was the grandmother yelling at him. He performed CPR until EMS arrived and took over. He said he took a prescribed opioid maintenance medication daily and he had taken his prescribed dose on the day of the incident. He denied that he had taken any non-prescribed substance or that he was impaired. He said he fell sleep on the couch while EMS rendered emergency aid to the infant, and he had no explanation as to why he was sleeping during the commotion. The grandfather refused to sign releases for his medical providers and he would not answer any additional questions about the incident.

The pediatrician's records showed the infant was last seen on 10/15/21 for a well visit and no concerns were noted. Law enforcement reported the grandfather was "nodding off" and he fell asleep on the couch after first responders arrived at the home and while life-saving measures were being performed. Hospital staff reported that the infant was in cardiac arrest when first responders arrived, and EMS resuscitated him. Hospital records showed the infant never regained consciousness. He remained on life support until it was determined he had no brain activity and it was withdrawn on 12/16/21.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Pending

Primary Cause of Death: Pending

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?Yes

Was the fatality referred to an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

Comments: Suffolk County does not have an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
	1 '	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated
Mons	Year(s)		
060341 - Deceased Child, Male, 4	060344 - Grandparent, Male, 56	Inadequate	Substantiated
Mons	Year(s)	Guardianship	
060341 - Deceased Child, Male, 4	060344 - Grandparent, Male, 56	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated
Mons	Year(s)		

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?				

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 6 of 13



When appropriate, children were interv	viewed?								
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-fa	ice?								
All 'other persons named' interviewed f	ace-to-face	?							
Contact with source?									
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?									
Was a death-scene investigation perform	ned?								
Was there discussion with all parties (you and staff) who were present that day (if comments in case notes)?									
Coordination of investigation with law	Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?								
Was there timely entry of progress note documentation?	s and other	required							
	Fatality Sa	fety Assessn	nent Activitie	S					
				Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine		
Were there any surviving siblings or otl	ner children	in the hou	usehold?						
Was there legal activity as a result of the		Legal Activity Related to the Fatality Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.							
Have any Orders of Protection been issued? No									
Have any Orders of Protection been iss	ued? No								
		he Family in							
		he Family in	a Response to						
		he Family in Offered, but Refused				N/A	CDR Lead to Referral		
Services	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Response to Offered, Unknown	the Fatality	Needed but	N/A	Lead to		
Services	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Response to Offered, Unknown if Used	the Fatality	Needed but	N/A	Lead to		
Services Services Bereavement counseling	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Response to Offered, Unknown if Used	the Fatality	Needed but	N/A	Lead to		
Services Services Bereavement counseling Economic support	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Response to Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but	N/A	Lead to		
Services Services Bereavement counseling Economic support Funeral arrangements	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Response to Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but	N/A ble	Lead to		
Services Services Bereavement counseling Economic support Funeral arrangements Housing assistance	Provided to the Provided After	Offered, but	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but	N/A ble	Lead to		

STATE and Family Services	Child	Fatality	y Report				
Legal services	ΤΠ						
Family planning							
Homemaking Services							
Parenting Skills							
Domestic Violence Services							
Early Intervention							
Alcohol/Substance abuse							
Child Care							
Intensive case management							
Family or others as safety resources							
Other							
	History	Prior to tl	he Fatality	У			
	C	hild Informa	ntion				
Did the child have a history of alleged cl Was the child ever placed outside of the Were there any siblings ever placed outs Was the child acutely ill during the two	home prionside of the l weeks befo	r to the dea nome prior	th? to this child	d's death?		Yes Yes N/A No	
	Illiants	s onder one	1 car Olu				
During pregnancy, mother: Had medical complications / infections Misused over-the-counter or prescription Experienced domestic violence Was not noted in the case record to have	on drugs	e issues liste	E d	☐ Had heav☐ Smoked☐ Used illi		e	
nfant was born: ☐ Drug exposed ☐ With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome ☐ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record							
CPS - Investiga	ative Histo	ory Three	Years Pri	or to the	Fatality		

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 8 of 13



Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
12/07/2021	Deceased Child, Male, 4 Months	1 1	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	No
		Grandparent, Male, 56 Years	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated	

Report Summary:

Two SCR reports were received that alleged on 12/7/21, the infant was left in the care of the maternal grandfather at 4:00 PM. The grandfather placed the infant on his stomach on the couch for tummy time. The grandfather fell asleep on the couch next to the infant. When family members returned home at 4:30 PM, the infant was observed face down on the couch and he was unresponsive. Emergency services were contacted, and CPR was performed. EMTs arrived and transported the infant to the hospital. The infant arrived at 5:00 PM, in respiratory failure and cardiac arrest. CPR continued and the infant was intubated. A pulse was detected, and the infant was in critical condition.

Report Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 02/05/2022

Basis for Determination:

There was credible evidence that the grandfather failed to exercise a minimum degree of care when he failed to properly supervise the infant. The grandfather fell asleep while the infant was sleeping on his chest on a reclined sofa. The infant subsequently fell from his grandfather's chest to the foot rest, which caused him to become unresponsive and pass away.

OCFS Review Results:

SCDSS conducted home visits and they interviewed the mother, grandparents, father, and maternal aunt. Collateral contacts were made with law enforcement, hospital staff and the pediatrician's office. Casework activities were completed timely and the case was appropriately indicated.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
08/06/2021	Deceased Child, Male, 1 Days	Mother, Female, 25 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	No
	Deceased Child, Male, 1 Days	l ' '	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Substantiated	

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged the mother gave birth to the infant on 8/5/21. The mother and infant tested positive for methadone at delivery.

Report Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 09/15/2021

Basis for Determination:

The mother had a history of opiate use and she admitted to relapsing during her pregnancy and then using non-prescribed methadone to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The infant tested positive for methadone at birth and he experienced withdrawal symptoms. He remained in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit until 8/21/21. The mother tested positive for methadone and fentanyl on 8/10/21 and fentanyl on 8/19/21. SCDSS filed a Neglect Petition in Family Court on 8/30/21. The infant was placed in the custody of the grandmother under Article 1017 and an order of protection was issued barring the mother from unsupervised contact with the infant.

OCFS Review Results:

SCDSS conducted home visits and they interviewed the mother, maternal grandmother and maternal aunt. The father was interviewed at jail. A plan of safe care was completed, safe sleep was discussed with the family, and a safe sleep environment was observed. Safety Assessments and the RAP were completed timely and accurately. Relevant collateral

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 9 of 13



contacts were made and the mother was referred to the appropriate services. A lobtain court ordered services and the case was opened for ongoing CPS services		etition was	s appropri	ately filed to
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes	NO			
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Pri	or to the F	atality		
				_
An SCR report dated 10/26/10 was substantiated against the grandparents for the	e allegatio	on of Educ	ational N	eglect.
SCR reports dated 11/3/14 and 5/20/15 were unsubstantiated against the grandpa	arents for	allegation	s of Inade	equate
Guardianship and Sexual Abuse.				
Known CPS History Outside of NYS				
There was no known CPS history outside of New York State.				
Services Open at the Time of the Fa	tality			
Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open preventive services case at Date the preventive services case was opened: 09/01/2021	the time	of the fat	ality? Ye	S
Date the preventive services case was opened. 09/01/2021				
Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open Child Protective Services	case at th	e time of	the fatali	ty? Yes
Date the Child Protective Services case was opened: 09/01/2021				
Evaluative Deview of Couriese that were Ones at the Tim	no of the E	atality		
Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at the Tin	ne or the r	аташу		
	Vas	No	NI/A	Unable to
	Yes	No	N/A	Determine
Did the service provider(s) comply with the timeliness and content				
requirements for progress notes?				
Did the services provided meet the service needs as outlined in the case record?	\boxtimes			
Did all service providers comply with mandated reporter requirements?				
Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of				
behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or	\boxtimes			
increased their risk of harm?				
Casework Contacts				
	T 7	NI	DILA	Unable to
	Yes	No	N/A	Determine
Did the service provider comply with case work contacts, including face-				
to-face contact as required by regulations pertaining to the program choice?				
choice:				
Services Provided				



		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
_	ediate needs and support their well-being in response to				
Were services propermanency, and	wided to parents as necessary to achieve safety, well-being?				
	Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS	D)			
	Fainity Assessment and Service Fain (FAS	1)			
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the most recent FASP approved on time?					
Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP?					
Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances?					
	Closing				
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the decision	Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate?				
			1		1
	Provider				
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were Services provided by a provider other than the Local Department of Social Services?			\boxtimes		
	nation, if necessary: ngoing CPS Services to the family.				
	Required Action(s)				
Are there Require ⊠Yes □No	d Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of C	PS or Pr	eventive	services ?	
Issue:	Adequacy of Child Protective Services casework contacts (o	pen servi	ces)		
Summary:	Face-to-face casework contacts and home visits were not conducted during the five months the ongoing CPS Services Case was opened.				
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(4)(vi)				
Action:	In cases where the child protective service is the primary service provider to children named in indicated child protective services cases and their families, SCDSS must make at least two separate face-to-face contacts per month with the subject(s) and other persons named in the report, one of which must take place in the subject's home.				

SV-21-053 FINAL Page 11 of 13



From: 08/30/2021

SV-21-053

and from any unsupervised contact with the infant.

Explain:

Child Fatality Report

Preventive Services History

An ongoing CPS Services Case was opened on 9/1/21, due to the mother having a history of drug use and the mother and infant testing positive for methadone at the time of the infant's birth. A Neglect Petition was filed and the infant was placed in the grandmother's custody under Article 1017. The mother lived with the grandparents; however, an order of protection was issued barring the mother from unsupervised contact. The grandmother and aunt were approved supervisors. The mother engaged in outpatient substance abuse treatment, mental health counseling, and she enrolled in a parenting skills class. SCDSS contacted the mother's service providers to monitor her participation in services. The case closed on 2/1/22 as there were no surviving children.

The grandparents' home was not assessed during the Services Case and there was only one attempted home visit documented on 9/25/21. Although the grandfather also resided in the home he was not considered a caretaker for infant and there is no mention of him. There were no face-to-face contacts with the adults or the infant. The mother and grandmother were spoken to on the phone but there were no documented attempts to speak to the grandfather or aunt. The infant was briefly observed during a video call while he was with a babysitter on 10/25/21, and it was noted that he appeared to be free from visible marks and bruises.

Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality

⊠Family Court	Criminal Court	Order of Protection		
Family Court I	Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS			
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	Disposition Description:		
09/02/2021	There was not a fact finding	There was not a disposition		
Respondent:	060342 Mother Female 25 Year(s)			
Comments:	On 8/30/21, the infant was removed from the mother and placed with the maternal grandmother under Article 1017. A Neglect Petition was subsequently filed against the mother on 9/2/21. As a result of the infant's death, SCDSS withdrew the petition and the preliminary orders were vacated on 12/22/21.			

On 8/30/21, an order of protection was issued barring the mother from consuming illegal or non-prescribed substances

Recommended Action(s)

FINAL

Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? Yes No

To: 08/30/2022

Page 12 of 13



Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? $\square Yes \boxtimes No$